

Minutes of January 14 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is:

<https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869>

Attendees in bold-face were present:

Fujitsu: **Mike Denicola, Kenji Kazumura**

IBM: **Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter**

Oracle: Will Lyons, **Bill Shannon, Ed Bratt, Dmitry Kornilov**

Payara: **Steve Millidge**

Red Hat: Mark Little, Scott Stark, **John Clingan**

Tomitribe: David Blevins, **Cesar Hernandez, Jonathan Gallimore**

Participant member representative: Martijn Verburg (not present) **Alex Theedom**

Committer member representative: **Arjan Tjims**

(Quorum is 4 -- simple-majority or one-half of the members (if even number) must be present)

Eclipse: Paul White, Wayne Beaton, **Ivar Grimstad, Tanja Obradovich**, Mike Milinkovich, Paul Buck, **Shabnam Mayel**

Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting

[Minutes of January 7 meeting](#) will be reviewed next time.

Jakarta EE 8 Follow-Up

- Publishing spec docs - review the following status doc
 - <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/18SraPxRBCOyaS6w-UV6TR-UA1bWy1--sV0ky6msAjWY/edit?usp=sharing>
 - Spec copyright assigned for 49% of spec documents (no change from last time).
 - Specs contributed to 49% of spec documents (no change from last time).
 - **Discussion:** Tanja reported there is no new news today, but expect to have updates in a couple of weeks. Will check back on the 28th of Jan.
- Contributing GF 4.X Japanese documentation
 - IP check was completed. Has this been [checked in](#) and is completed.
 - **Discussion:** Ed confirmed this is done and need not be further tracked at the committee.
- Was the Sonatype migration completed last week?
 - **Discussion:** Kevin Sutter and Dmitry Kornilov -- report this ought to be finished as early as today. Parent POM will be released for all projects to use shortly. Looked at tracking sheet, [here](#). PMC (Dmitry) will provide notice to the

community and give a short amount of time for objections, then initiate the transfer if there are no objections.

Jakarta EE and MicroProfile

- MicroProfile team members have distributed a proposal for a WG for MicroProfile. One of the proposals involves a combined Jakarta EE/MicroProfile Working Group. The intent is that if the combined proposal is recommended, that proposal would be brought to the Jakarta EE WG.
 - The proposal is to have a soft stake in the ground by end of Feb, possibly sooner, and votable proposal end of March
 - Most comments are being made in [MicroProfile GitHub Sandbox](#). Proposed modifications are via pull requests and comments often occur on those pull requests.
- **Discussion:** John Clingan, Ed, Kevin: Hangout at 11 AM PST using zoom: <https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/949859967> a continuation of a bi-weekly (now weekly) call to work on input and issues related to working group for MicroProfile. ([MicroProfile calendar](#))

Jakarta EE 9

- A 9-day ballot process has been initiated for spec committee approval of the Jakarta EE 9 release plan. It will end on Jan 15. Approval is expected.
- Mike Milinkovich has drafted a blog to “announce” the release plan on Jan 15:
 - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1JkrxNXvZiJkAXux6qJ3BesK43qvbbISzFF_XY6rwyw/edit?usp=sharing

Additional comments

- As noted last time, should reach out to tools teams and projects (Eclipse, IntelliJ, WDT...) requesting support
- **Tooling discussion:** Tanja -- Eclipse Che will be willing to pick up support for Jakarta EE. Suggests adding commentary via PRs.
- **Additional discussion:** Arjan suggests investigating work with plugins. Arjan will take another run at this. Check back on the 28th of Jan.

Discussion on membership fees

- See the proposal approved last time at the following URL: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1VMXVzdfBfIKfhW0rmTJXI2sRAdeCaVTXnEIZ_RSrUME/edit# .

- The Eclipse Foundation will take the necessary steps to implement the proposal, including drafting a Consent Agreement, and preparing a flowchart explaining the process steps. Leaving this topic on the agenda until the topic is closed out.
- No updates on this, this week. Will ask about this on the 21st.
- **Discussion:** Mike D. reports that there was some concern about too many members from a single employer. This will be considered in the generation of new procedures and agreements.

Marketing Committee Update and Jakarta EE Update Calls

- JakartaOne Livestream Japan
 - Last meeting, Kenji reviewed the agenda for the event on Feb 26 - any update?
 - <https://docs.google.com/document/d/17KbwfheYJ0UABlxMdUi27HWvlgF2WCugM53KQ3jy0hg/edit#>
 - **Discussion:** Still has space for Vendor talks. Payara and Fujitsu have committed vendor sessions. Red Hat may also have a session. Must present in Japanese. Would prefer these all settled by the end of January.
- Kubecon Europe plan
 - <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1uECHm37ziSIVbZEALphHTqtu9XW2YMHwkLwGin7lSwM/edit#>
 - Sponsorships are available, expecting approximately (up to) 300 participants. Cloud Native Computing Foundation, IBM, and RH are sponsors at this time. Would need further commits soon, within next two weeks.
 - **Update:** Oracle has committed to Silver sponsorship for the CN4J day-zero event @Kubecon EU.
 - Would expect to follow a similar pattern in Kubecon NA (Boston)
 - Highlighting Strategic WG members is requested.
 - **Discussion:** Next week will be an announcement from Eclipse about this event. Shabnam will be reaching out to sponsors for collateral material
- Jakarta EE Update Call Jan 15
 - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U81TZ2F_nhg6WxoE1VnpUUEQ09r8SXWpaN3hf3wiTWQ/edit#
 - **Discussion:** Reviewed the agenda for the call, tomorrow. Will use Crowdcast service for tomorrow's call. Speakers should pre-register.
- Jakarta Tech Talks - Tanja is open for suggestions
 - Looking for more topics
 - <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/19AfvCUdScUHwJeiMYg370tum5mi7zl4bvKZczcQXiUM/edit#gid=0>
 - **Discussion:** Techtalk happened this AM. >100 registered. ~50 joined live. Recording will be/is available.
 - All topics for Cloud Native Java will be considered. Looking for more presentation referrals.
- DevNexus Atlanta -- Feb19-21

- There will be an Eclipse Foundation [booth](#). Eclipse will be hosting a reception Feb. 19th. EF is soliciting for help staffing the booth. Please use the booth link to review the schedule and sign up.

Operationalizing Jakarta EE Program Plan

- Tanja and Will are working on a document that translates the goals of the 2020 plan:
 - <https://drive.google.com/open?id=1S053agg7BeBM4wSaGhtbANE6tlFBc3Ap0Z-e-xdEOnM>
- ...Into quarterly objectives/milestones/outcomes. We will provide an update next week (delayed due to Will's travel).
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/19du8Ccx4aYc-q5aNnuglYR1nI00ZPUcgPeZU9uW8NE/edit#slide=id.g7b69340134_0_132
- **Discussion:** All docs in Steering Committee folder, program plans. Committee members can review and comment on these during the next week. Q1 goal suggestions should be reviewed and feedback is requested.
- Reviewed the slide (link above) and also the quarter by quarter [goals spreadsheet](#).
- Committee members can review and comment in the documents and/or directly to Tanja and Will.
- Discussion about cadence of releases (John C provided the following summary).
 - Spec projects can release at any cadence they can implement to.
 - John C. suggests that slower does not equate to a negative. Stability is also of concern - what cadence do Jakarta EE users want to absorb (based on how they have been deploying Java EE up to this point)?
 - TCK compatibility requirements also have details about how independent releases can be absorbed. We may need to change these requirements, to support flexibility that we want to achieve.
 - The committee would like to identify barriers to complete independence with respect to release schedules. Jakarta may provide opportunities for expanding this flexibility.
 - We could include questions about this in a survey to help refine the community input. There are many possibilities for accomplishing this.
 - Suggested that the committee adopt a statement (or resolution) recommending improvement in the frequency of releases and that we work to identify and perhaps relax requirements that make releases take longer. Then the subcommittees and committer working groups could be asked to provide feedback about their processes and requirements that could be changed to meet this goal.

Allowing Java User Group use of Jakarta EE, and use of the brand more generally

- The Steering Committee generally supports use of the Jakarta EE brand in this manner, and has recommended creating some structured process around it.
- The Eclipse Foundation has drafted an Agreement which could be used with JUGs, not yet a program for operationalizing this.
- Similar question came up in the context of “Starter Project for Jakarta EE”. This is approved.
 - The request to the Steering Committee should then be to formulate some guidelines as to when it is ok to call a project "Jakarta EE <something>" and when does it have to be "<something> for Jakarta EE".
- **Brief discussion:** Dan met with Wayne on this topic. Will come back with a recommendation in the Jan 21 Steering Committee meeting.
- Wayne and Dan continue to work on this and confirmed they will have an update next week.

The meeting abruptly terminated at about 10:35 AM PST. I think the Zoom meeting was closed.