

# Spec Committee Meeting Minutes July 18, 2018

## Attendees (present in bold):

**Kenji Kazumura** - [Fujitsu](#), Michael DeNicola

**Dan Bandera** - [IBM](#), Kevin Sutter

**Bill Shannon** - [Oracle](#), **Ed Bratt**

Steve Millidge - [Payara](#), Arjan Tijms

**Scott Stark** - [Red Hat](#), Mark Little

David Blevins - [Tomitribe](#), **Richard Monson-Haefel**

Ivar Grimstad - [PMC](#) Representative

**Alex Theedom** - Participant Member

**Werner Keil** - Committer Member

**Mike Milinkovich** - [Eclipse Foundation](#) Paul White, **Wayne Beaton**, **Tanja Obradovic**

Actions marked in red with names of individuals or companies

## Goals for this call

Approval of the past mtg min

Confirm all actions from previous call

Review the [Requirements and Goals](#) document to resolve a handful of remaining issues.

Discuss TCK related topics

## Agenda

**Tanja** to send out link to the google document - Approval of the past mtg min 28th, June 12th and June 18th left for the call next week

**All** participants please review and be ready to approve on the next call

[Fujitsu](#)

[IBM](#)

[Oracle](#)

[Payara](#)

[Red Hat](#)

[Tomitribe](#) +1

[PMC](#)

[Participant Member](#)

[Committer Member](#)

[Eclipse Foundation](#)

**Mike Milinkovich** to make the [Requirements and Goals](#) document final - is this completed now?

- Reviewed but due to open questions from Markus moved to next week's agenda for completion

TCK Conversation

TCK release process

- *TCK (Technology Compatibility Kit) process* - who is writing a draft? (**David Blevins** and **Richard Monson-Haefel**)
- First draft due 25th
- Review and feedback from others the following week
- Final version mid August

## TCK

- Mandate is to have TCK exists under EF (ee4j working group) (develop where ever, but chosen snapshot for given release level needs to live in Eclipse Foundation)
- One TCK project corresponding to a specification project

## TCK versioning

- Do we need to have spec version corresponding to TCK version

## TCK challenge process

### TCK releases

- version management process
  - What should be our approach? How frequent our release need to be? stability vs aggressive releases
- Who calls for a new version?
  - Spec committee needs to approve a new version; a new version would be suggested /recommend it by spec project
  - recommendation from the project and committee to be used as escalation path (PMC, EMO)

Meeting set up for last week of July IP Advisory and Spec Committee for questions on compatibility / innovation - [Mike Milinkovich](#) / [Tanja](#)

- [Mike Milinkovich](#) - Doodle to set up this call comes July 18th
- please refer to Richard Monson-Haefel's document with questions for IP Advisory board [Link to the document](#) [All](#), please review and contribute

## Not discussed

[Patent Policy document](#) - [Mike Milinkovich](#)

Continue the discussion on the balance between compatibility and innovation, not as opposing alternatives but rater slight nudges in different directions, not stark contrasts.

[Mark Little](#) or [Scot Stark](#) will hopefully be able to represent Red Hat's view on this

## Writing Eclipse / Jakarta EE / Process specification documents

Can we confirm this? Can we have new spec docs created by referencing old spec + additional new specs, seems to be approved from Oracle legal - need definitive answer from [Oracle](#) + [IBM](#) + [RedHat](#) + [PMS \(Ivar\)](#)

Can we confirm? Other app servers, beside GlassFish can we expect on Jakarta EE 8

- Wildfly - name clarification needed [RedHat](#) ?
- IBM Liberty
- Fujitsu
- [Payara](#) - ?
- [Weblogic](#) - ?
- [TomiTribe](#) - ?